

LIHEAP CASE REVIEW

ND DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IND DEPARTIMENT OF HUMAN SERVICE
LIHEAP
SFN 655 (3-2005)

Case Name	e:		Case Number:		Review Date/Review Month	
Reviewer		Eligibility Worker		County Name		
Y = Y	YES 1	N = NO	X = N/A	NC = NOT CLEAR		LIHEAP
2. Was 3. If tim 4. Are 5. Is th (Nur 6. Has anot 7. Was 8. Was	te application cor is the appropriate ne frame is not me the living arrang the information on mber of bedroom there only been ther county? is the application is application den	time frame met in the does docume ements entered of the latest applications etc.) one application to denied on the 30	ntation in file justify correctly and update ation consistent with this season unless th day if requested subsidized housing		's application? d or transferred from ceived?	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8.
				d on the computer system	as October 1?	10.
 VERIFICATIONS: If income or deductions are more than \$500 per year, are they verified? Was the household notified within 15 days after the application was received that further verifications were needed and they have 30 days from the receipt of the application to get verifications in? If there are children in the household under 19 is their school attendance verified if they are working? Is everyone in the household counted as household member except those who are renting, foreign higher education students, college students away from home, foster children or ineligible aliens etc.? If someone is paying board and room is it documented? Is all earned/unearned income verified or considered in the best estimate? Have other Economic Assistance program's verifications been used for LIHEAP documentation? Is there documentation that the information is in other files? Was the case pended while waiting for verifications? Responsibility for Heat: Is there a verification of responsibility for heating costs if there is a question about this? 					1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9.	
build 2. Are 3. Was inco time	ding type? the LIHEAP bers recomputation ome, or the house?	nefit computations of a case only co ehold applied for	s correct? empleted when oth Emergency Assist	er factors changed for a hance and the loss of incor	ousehold, other than ne will extend for a long	1. 2. 3. 4.
7. If th	those persons reiving fuel directly here a preauthoring ere only a charge were here only one fur household has lits is this reflected household ren	y from a vendor? zation for furnace up to \$75 for following than \$75 was and/or chim sted on their apped in the compute	e and chimney clear urnace and chimned were the payments aney cleaning done dication that the co r file? nsible for heating o	ey cleaning? s approved by the state off e a fuel season for each he est of their heat includes no	ice? ousehold?	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.

Y = YES	N = NO	X = N/A	NC = NOT CLEAR	LIHEAP		
	lived or will live in	the residence most o	f the season? s of the United States?	9. 10.		
INCOME:						
	gross income beer	used to determine e	ligibility?	1.		
Have all recur	Have all recurring/non-recurring lump sums been treated properly?					
3. Has the income of persons required to be in the unit considered?						
	4. Has self-employment been calculated properly?5. Is children's income reported and considered appropriately?					
		and done correctly?	idicity:	5. 6.		
DEDUCTIONS:		•		0.		
	le income deductio	ns used and verified?	? (see 415-25-05-05)	1.		
		deduction used on ea	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.		
		s attending school els	sewhere in the state are they allowed <u>up to</u> \$300 a	3.		
month deduct	-	medical expenses?		4.		
		quested medical infor	mation?	5.		
		s deducted appropria		6.		
ASSETS:				J.		
		e unit been considere		1.		
		ot assets been explor		2.		
		s with persons outside luded assets within pl	e the household been explored?	3.		
	-	•	ated and documented if questionable?	4. 5.		
6. Have the tran	sfers of property be	en explored/resolved	1?	6.		
			ccount" for those 60 years of age and over?	7.		
8. Did the house \$2000 in asse		r less in assets and fo	or each person over 60 years of age was an extra	8.		
•	savings handled a	ppropriately?		9.		
		a question regarding	assets?	10.		
		n a self-declaration b	pasis?	11.		
12. is one vehicle	exempt?			12.		
NOTICES:						
		ay as the determination		1. 2.		
		condary vendors whe	as well as the households? n necessary?	3.		
			ase to both vendor and household?	4.		
		miscellaneous payme	ent made or a premium payment authorized and are	5.		
•	he same day?			0.		
EMERGENCY ASS				1.		
			was taken for Emergency Assistance?	2.		
	nented crisis or pot sehold's personal r		the time of the Emergency Assistance application?	3.		
			c shelter, utility, employment, food, medical and	4.		
other essentia	al costs?	•				
			plication once the county maximum was met?	5.		
		only completed after a come would extend a	an Emergency Assistance case was completed and it	6.		
			air and water heater repair or replacement			
preauthorized	or referral made to	a Community Action	n Agency?	7.		
		gy Share if they need	ed assistance with non-heat utilities unless the	R		
primary heat i		energy costs (50-05-3	20)2	8. 9.		
J. AIR AIR DAVII	ici ilo illi illiciildibie e	こしこしい ししろしる じろしきしろきろ	1.71.			

SFIN	655 (11-2003) Page 3				
	Y = YES	N = NO	X = N/A	NC = NOT CLEAR	LIHEAP
EME	RGENCY ASSIST	ANCE: (CON	i'T.)		
11. Was the household eligible for regular LIHEAP at some point in the current season or eligible based on income in the month of the energy assisted application?12. Was SFN 98 used to refer household to Community Action Agencies for the Self Reliance program?					11. 12.
13	. Was form SFN 62	2 "Emergency	Assistance Application		13.
	system? Paymen		k 30 days from the dat		14.
PA	MENTS:				
1.	Are the miscellan circumstances?	eous payment	s paid at the LIHEAP	share percentage unless there are special	1.
			by Assistance paid at toor a bill if they have pa	he discretion of the eligibility worker? id the bill?	2.3.
4.	Did household re	quest both furr	nace cleaning and chir	mney cleaning?	4.
5.	Was the payment household?	made on furn	ace and or chimney cl	leaning only if the service was requested by the	5.
				ors could not bill for fuel already paid for by the client? re than 70% LIHEAP share?	6.
			payments made corre		7. 8.
	ANGES:			Har Carachara and an analysis datas	
1.	a) household me	mbers b) h	ndatory reasons the to ousehold moves c) t	Illowing changes are mandatory: type of heat change d) rent subsidy change	1.
0	e) change in ass			and income reviewed?	2.
				s and income reviewed? tion entered on the system within ten days?	3.
4.	Was the change i	n the case cor	rectly computed?	· ·	4.
5.	At the time of the entered in the sys	•	ne effective date of the	e change five days from the day the change was	5.
6.	Were there overp		derpayments due to the	he change and household failure to report	6.
7	changes timely?	nte or underna	yments handled corre	octly?	7.
			ligibility completed tim		8.
		on taken if the	household was previous	ously determined ineligible and now could be	9.
COOLING PROGRAM:					
			the Cooling Program is	n need of air conditioning due to health reasons?	1.
			conditioner income an		2.
	Has Cooling assis	stance provide	d to those in subsidize	ed housing and who are income eligible and need an	
	air conditioner du				3.
4.	Are the correct co	ues used for (Jooling cases?		4.

ND Department of Human Services/LIHEAP SFN 655 (3-2005) Page 4

SECTION I is to be completed by Reviewer with a copy of page 3 to CSS office. If a deficiency is noted in Section I, the eligibility worker should complete Section II explaining action taken to correct case records. This section should be signed off on by supervisor/lead worker and a copy of this page (page 3) returned to the Reviewer.

Case Name:		Case Number:			
Eligibility Worker/County Name:	Review Date/Review M	onth			
SECTION I. ACTION REQUIRED: (Describe any deficiencies and action	on required)				
Respond By:					
Reviewer's Signature:		Date:			
SECTION II. ACTION TAKEN BY ELIGIBILITY WORKER: (Describe	how actions required ab	ove have been corrected)			
Eligibility Worker:		Date:			
Lead Worker/Supervisor's Signature:					
SECTION 3 is to be completed by reviewer. The purpose of this sectio worker has excelled in determining eligibility for a case.	SECTION 3 is to be completed by reviewer. The purpose of this section is to allow the reviewer to comment on the areas where the eligibility				
Case Name:	Case Number:				
Eligibility Worker:	rker: Review Date/Review I				
Comments:					
Reviewer's Signature:		Date:			